1 Eric J. Hardeman (State Bar No. 253489) JONES DAY 2 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800 Irvine, CA 92612 3 Telephone: (949) 851-3939 Facsimile: (949) 553-7539 4 Email: ejhardeman@jonesday.com 5 Attorneys for Defendant EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. 6 7 HR8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3896 10 11 LORENZO ROBERTSON, CASE NO. 12 Plaintiff, (Santa Clara County Superior Court, State of California, Limited Jurisdiction 13 Division, Case No. 111CV204098) 14 EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION INC., 15 TRANS UNION, LLC., Complaint Filed: June 2, 2011 CHEXSYSTEMS, INC., 16 FIRST ADVANTAGE SAFERENT, INC., 17 Defendants. 18 19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc., files its 20 Notice of Removal of the above-captioned action to this Court, and states as follows: 21 1. Experian is a named defendant in Civil Action No. 111CV204098, filed in the 22 Santa Clara County Superior Court, State of California, Limited Jurisdiction Division (the "State 23 Court Action"). 24 2. The original Complaint in the State Court Action was filed with the Clerk of the 25 Santa Clara County Superior Court on June 2, 2011. Plaintiff mailed to Experian, a copy of the 26 Complaint and a request for waiver of summons, which was received by Experian on July 11, 27 2011. Experian agreed to waive service of summons, effective as of the date it received the 28 Complaint.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

IRI-24536v1

- 3. This Notice is being filed with this Court within thirty (30) days after Experian received a copy of Plaintiff's initial pleading setting forth the claims for relief upon which Plaintiff's action is based.
- 4. Experian is informed and believes that no other defendant in the State Court Action has been served with Summons and the Complaint.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of all substantive records and proceedings from the State Court Action.
- 6. Experian is a corporation which, for monetary fees, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties. Experian uses means or facilities of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports. and therefore is a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 7. The claims of relief against Experian alleged in the State Court Action arise under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"). Thus, this court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the above-captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p. The above-captioned action may properly be removed to this United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), as it is a civil action founded on a claim or right arising under the laws of the United States, namely, the FCRA.
- Promptly after the filing of this Notice of Removal, Experian shall provide notice of the removal to Plaintiff in the State Court Action and to the Clerk of the Court in the State Court Action, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

WHEREFORE, Experian notices the removal of this case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441 et seq.

26

28

Case 3:11-cv-03896-SI Document 1 Filed 08/09/11 Page 3 of 20

3			
1	Dated: August , 2011		Jones Day
2			^
3			By:
4			Eric J. Hardeman
.5		÷	Attorneys for Defendant EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS,
6		-	INC.
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	·		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			·
25			
26			
27			
28	ID) 24526I	·	2 NOTION OF PRIVATE OF CONTOC
	IRI-24536v1		3 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

EXHIBIT A

LORENZO ROBERTSON 715 Lexington Place 2 Gilroy, Ca 95020 Email: Irobertson 1966@gmail.com 701 JUN 29 PH 1:48 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA $M_{(\mathcal{L}, \gamma_i)_{i \in HH}}$ 5 Case No.: LORENZO ROBERTSON 6 7 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES. 8 VS. EXPERIAIN INFORMATION LIMITED CIVIL CASE 9 SOLUTIONS, INC., DEMAND DOES NOT EXCEED \$10,000.00 10 TRANS UNION, LLC., JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 11 CHEXSYSTEMS, INC., 12 FIRST ADVANTAGE SAFERENT, INC., 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 [Summary of pleading] - 1 17 Upon information and belief, and good faith, Plaintiff LORENZO ROBERTSON 18 alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 20 1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages based on Defendants' violation of the 21 Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") codified at 15U.S.C. S 1692 et seq., of the 22 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. S 1692 et seq., The Fair 23 24 and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 FACTA Act or FACTA, Pub. L 108-25 159. 26 2. Under S.616 of the Act, (15 U.S.C. S 168In). "An entity that meets the 27 definitional requirement for a "consumer reporting agency" (CRA) in section 28

COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, AND PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (SET ONE)

1,1

Collection Practices Act ("FCRA"), <u>15 U.S.C.</u> <u>S 1692</u> et seq., The Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 FACTA Act or FACTA, <u>Pub. L 108-159</u>.

- 2. Under S.616 of the Act, (15 U.S.C. S 168ln). "An entity that meets the definitional requirement for a "consumer reporting agency" (CRA) in section 603(f) of the FRCA is covered by the law even if the only information it collects, maintains, and disseminates is obtained from "public record" sources.
- 3. Section 603 (f) defines a "consumer reporting agency" (CRA) as any person which, for monetary fees, dues, or not on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information... for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to "third parties. Section 603 (d) defines a "consumer report" as the communication of "any information" by a CRA that bears on a consumers credit worthiness, credit rating, credit, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living that is used or expected to be or collected in whole or part, for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing eligibility for credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family or household purposes, employment purposes, Or any other purpose authorized under Section (604).
- **4.** A consumer may recover either actual damages or a minimum of \$100 and a maximum of \$1000 plus punitive damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs for willful noncompliance with the act. Under S 617 of the act, (15 U.S.C. S. 16810), recovery for a negligent violation is of actual damages, plus attorney's fees. Under S 618, a consumer may file suit in state or federal court to enforce the Act, and the statue of limitations is earlier of 2 years from discovery and 5 years from the violation. (15 U.S.C. S. 1681p.)

Summary of Pleading] – 2

JURISDICTION

5. The jurisdiction of this court is conferred by 15 U.S.C., 1681 (p) and 28 U.S.C. 1331. Venue lies in Santa Clara County in the U.S. District Court of Santa Clara, California.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, Lorenzo A. Robertson (hereafter "I", "me", "mine", or Plaintiff" is a resident of Gilroy, California. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by FCRA 1681 (a) of the FCRA.
- 7. Defendant Experian Information Solutions (hereinafter "Experian") is both a "person" as defined by FCRA 1681a(f) and sections 603 (f). Experian is authorized to do business in the state of California, with its principle place of business located at 475 Anton Blvd. Costa Mesa, Ca. 92626.
- 8. Defendant Trans Union LLC (hereafter Trans Union) is both a "person" as defined by FCRA 1681 (a) and a consumer reporting agency as defined by FCRA 1681a(f) and Sections 603(F). Trans Union is authorized to do business in the state of California, with its principle place of business located at 555 West Adams, Chicago, IL. 60661.
- 9. Defendant Chexsystems, Inc. (hereafter Checksystems) is both a "person" as defined by FCRA 1681 (a) and a consumer reporting agency as defined by FCRA 1681a(f) and Sections 603(F). Checksystems is authorized to do business in the state of California, with its principle place of business located at 7805 Hudson Road, Suite 100, Woodbury, MN. 55125.
- 10. Defendant First Advantage Saferent Inc.. (hereafter First Advantage Saferent) is both a

"person" as defined by FCRA 1681 (a) and a consumer reporting agency as defined by FCRA 1681a(f) and Sections 603(F). First Advantage Saferent is authorized to do business in the state of California, with its principle place of business located at 7300 Westmore Road #3, Rockville, MD. 20850.

CUASE OF ACTION

- 11. Plaintiff repeats, alleges, contends, asserts/reasserts and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 12. Experian; continues to add, store, maintain and disseminate personal credit information, in consumer reports it prepares and issues about plaintiff which is inaccurate, false, erroneous, misleading, adverse data, despite notice from Plaintiff and subscribers that such information is inaccurate.
- 13. Experian; failed to a conduct reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes with the original creditors and otherwise allegedly utilizing a web-based metro 2 compliant dispute verification system (e-OSCAR) and willfully failed to comport with FCRA 1681i.
- 14. Experian; fails to adopt and follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum required accuracy and thorough verification of Plaintiff's consumer credit and other personal information as required by FCRA which is complied, used and manipulated in order to prepare consumer credit reports, credit scores, risk factors, denial codes, subscriber codes and other economic data evaluation methods.
- 15. As a result of Experian's negligent failure to comply with the FCRA, it is liable to Plaintiff in the amount equal to the sum of (1) any actual damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of said failure and (2) the cost of this action together with reasonable attorney's fees.

16. Trans Union continues to add, store, maintain and disseminate personal credit information, in consumer reports it prepares and issues about plaintiff which is inaccurate, false, erroneous, misleading, adverse, and has allegedly participated in the selling lists containing personal and financial consumer information to third parties for marketing purposes for profit since January 1,1987- May 28, 2008 see class action settlement case (re Trans Union Privacy Litigation Case No. 00-CV-4729, MDL Docket No. 1350, U.S. Dist. Ct., N. Dist. Illinois, Eastern Division, Judge Robert W. Gentlemen) and has allegedly participated in the selling lists containing personal and financial consumer information to third parties for marketing purposes for profit since January 1,1987- May 28, 2008 despite notice from Plaintiff and subscribers that such information is inaccurate.

- 17. <u>Trans Union</u>; failed to a conduct reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes with the original creditors and otherwise allegedly utilizing a web-based metro 2 compliant dispute verification system (e-OSCAR) and willfully failed to comport with FCRA 1681i.
- 18. Trans Union; fails to adopt and follow reasonable verification procedures to assure maximum required accuracy of Plaintiff's consumer credit and other personal information as required by FCRA which is complied, used and manipulated in order to prepare consumer credit reports, credit scores, risk factors, denial codes, subscriber codes and other economic data evaluation.
- 19. As a result of <u>Trans Union</u>'s negligent failure to comply with the FCRA, it is liable to Plaintiff in the amount equal to the sum of (1) any actual damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of said failure and (2) the cost of this action together with reasonable attorney's fees.

 20. <u>ChexSystems, Inc.</u>; continues to add, store, maintain and disseminate personal credit information, in consumer reports it prepares and issues about plaintiff which is inaccurate, false,

erroneous, misleading, adverse data despite notice from Plaintiff and subscribers that such

21. ChexSystems, Inc.; failed to a conduct reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes with

the original creditors and "negligent and willful failure to reinvestigate the disputed entries in

violation of sections 611(a), 616, and 617 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681i(a), 1681n, 1681o".

See "Cushman v. Trans Union Corp., 920 F. Supp. 80 (E.D. Pa. 1996) or Cushman v. Trans

22. ChexSystems, Inc.; fails to adopt and follow reasonable verification procedures to assure

maximum required accuracy of Plaintiff's consumer credit and other personal information as

required by FCRA which is complied, used and manipulated in order to prepare consumer credit

information is inaccurate.

Union Corp., 115 F.3d 220 (3d Cir. 1997)".

with reasonable attorney's fees.

- 14

reports, credit scores, risk factors, and other economic data evaluation.

23. As a result of <u>ChexSystems's</u> negligent failure to comply with the FCRA, it is liable to Plaintiff in the amount equal to the sum of (1) any actual damages for humiliation or mental.

Plaintiff in the amount equal to the sum of (1) any actual damages for humiliation or mental distress sustained by Plaintiff as a result of said failure and (2) the cost of this action together

24. <u>First Advantage Saferent</u>; is a blacklist company and makes no inquiry into the issues of a case before reporting an alleged eviction and continues to add, store, maintain and disseminate personal credit information, in consumer reports it prepares and issues about plaintiff which is inaccurate, false, erroneous, misleading, adverse unverified data despite notice from Plaintiff that such information is inaccurate.

25. <u>First Advantage Saferent</u>; failed to a conduct reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes with the original creditors and willfully failed to comport with FCRA 1681i.

26. First Advantage Saferent.; fails to adopt and follow reasonable verification procedures to assure maximum required accuracy of Plaintiff's consumer credit and other personal information as required by FCRA which is complied, used and manipulated in order to prepare consumer credit reports, credit scores, risk factors, denial codes, subscriber codes and other economic data evaluation.

27. As a result of <u>First Advantage Saferent's</u> negligent failure to comply with the FCRA, it is liable to Plaintiff in the amount equal to the sum of (1) any actual damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of said failure and (2) the cost of this action together with reasonable attorney's fees.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request and pray for a judgment against Defendants and that Plaintiff be awarded all costs allowable to what they deem just and proper for the inconvenience, damage of character, mental and financial distress due their actions for so many years.

Dated this June 2, 2011

ATTACHMENT CV-5012

CIVIL LAWSUIT NOTICE

Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara 191 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95113

CASE NUMBER:	 ,	ě	, :	Ž.	1	12	- ^	31.	٠.	
-	 			<u> </u>		7				

PLEASE READ THIS ENTIRE FORM

<u>PLAINTIFF</u> (the person suing): Within 60 days after filing the lawsuit, you must serve each Defendant with the Complaint, Summons, an Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Sheet, and a copy of this Civil Lawsuit Notice, and you must file written proof of such service.

DEFENDANT (The person sued): You must do each of the following to protect your rights:

- You must file a written response to the Complaint, using the proper legal form or format, in the Clerk's Office of the Court, within 30 days of the date you were served with the Summons and Complaint;
- 2. You must serve by mail a copy of your written response on the Plaintiff's attorney or on the Plaintiff if Plaintiff has no attorney (to "serve by mail" means to have an adult other than yourself mail a copy); and
- 3. You must attend the first Case Management Conference.

Warning: If you, as the Defendant, do not follow these instructions, you may automatically lose this case.

<u>RULES AND FORMS:</u> You must follow the California Rules of Court and the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara Local Civil Rules and use proper forms. You can obtain legal information, view the rules and receive forms, free of charge, from the Self-Help Center at 99 Notre Dame Avenue, San Jose (408-882-2900 x-2926), www.scselfservice.org (Select "Civil") or from:

- State Rules and Judicial Council Forms: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms and www.courtinfo.ca.gov/rules
- Local Rules and Forms: http://www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/rule1toc.htm

<u>CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC)</u>: You must meet with the other parties and discuss the case, in person or by telephone, at least 30 calendar days before the CMC. You must also fill out, file and serve a Case Management Statement (Judicial Council form CM-110) at least 15 calendar days before the CMC.

You or your attorney must appear at the CMC. You may ask to appear by telephone ~ see Local Civil Rule 8.

Your Case Management Judge is:Honorable Kevin	McKenney Department: 20
The 1st CMC is scheduled for: (Completed by Clerk of Court) Date:	Time: 2:15 PM in Department: 20
The next CMC is scheduled for: (Completed by party if the 1st	CMC was continued or has passed)
Date:	in Department:

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): If all parties have appeared and filed a completed ADR Stipulation Form (local form CV-5008) at least 15 days before the CMC, the Court will cancel the CMC and mail notice of an ADR Status Conference. Visit the Court's website at www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/ADR/ or call the ADR Administrator (408-882-2100 x-2530) for a list of ADR providers and their qualifications, services, and fees.

WARNING: Sanctions may be imposed if you do not follow the California Rules of Court or the Local Rules of Court.

Form CV-5012 REV 7/01/08	CIVIL LAWSUIT NOTICE	Page 1 of

Order on Court Fee Waiver	Clerk stamps gate two what form a filed.	_
FW-003 (Superior Court)	The state of the s	
Person who asked the court to walve court fees:	2011 JOH 30 BH 4:21	
Name: LORENZO A. ROBERTS ON	Destrict Company	
Street or mailing address: 715 LEtwo ton PLACE	B _r Comp	
City: Critical State: CIA Zip: 95020	M. Scrum	
2 Lawyer, If person in 1 has one sname, address, phone number,	- Golum	
e-mail, and State Bar number): N/1	1	
	Fill in court name and street address:	
	Superior Court of California, County of	
(3) A request to waive court fees was filed	Superior Court Civil Division	
on (date):	191 N. First St	
The court made a previous fee waiver order in this case	San Jose, CA 95113	
on (date):	fill incase number and case pare:	
	Gast Number V GU TUTE	
Read this form carefully. All checked boxes are court orders.	Case Name:	
Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and lar fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and is a change in your financial size.	ter order you to pay back the waived	
is a cliange in your infancial circumstances during this case that increases your of	hility to pay food and same area.	
I from y the dial court within five days, [USE 10131] F.W. [111]) It you win your case	the trial court more and as the sit.	
to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court was amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lien	rill have a lien on the settlement in the	
(4) After reviewing your (check one): Request to Waive Court Fees R	equest to Waive Additional Court Fees	
the court makes the following orders:		
The court grants your request, as follows:		
(1) Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your court fee	es and costs listed below. (Cal. Rules of	
The 5.55 Tou do not have to pay the court fees for the follow	wing:	
 Making copies and certifying copies Sending 	papers to another court department	
Sheriff 's fee to give notice Court-a	projected interpreter in small for the	
• Preparing and certifying the clerk's transcript on appeal. • Court 6	er at the court-approved dail	
(2) Additional Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives y	our additional superior court fees and and	
Jury fees and expenses	do not have to pay for the checked items.	≨_ 5
F	nted interpreter fees for a wife #	整
Reporter's daily fees (beyond the 60-day period following the fee		
Other (speciful)	Ø	
	<u> </u>	
(3) Fee Waiver for Appeal. The court grants your request and waives th	ie fees and costs checked he	
(3) Fee Waiver for Appeal. The court grants your request and waives the appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.55, 3.56, 8.26, and 8.818.) You of	ie fees and costs checked he	
(ie fees and costs checked he	
the court makes the following orders: a. The court grants your request, as follows: (1) Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your court fee Court, rule 3.55.) You do not have to pay the court fees for the follow. • Filing papers in Superior Court • Making copies and certifying copies • Sheriff's fee to give notice • Reporter's daily fee (for up to 60 days following the fee waiver ord enterprise or the follow. • Preparing and certifying the clerk's transcript on appeal • Court fees and certifying the clerk's transcript on appeal court fees that are checked below. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.56.) You could be superiored. □ Jury fees and expenses	es and costs listed below. (Cal. Rules of wing: notice and certificates g papers to another court department of the court-approved dail the court-approved dail the court department of the court approved dail the court department of the court approved dail the court department of the court approved dail the court department of the court depa	CONTROLLING AND CONTROLLING AN

our name:	Case Number:
b. The court denies your request, as follows:	
Warning! If you miss the deadline below, the court cannot process your r you filed with your original request. If the papers were a notice of appeal,	
 (1) The court denies your request because it is incomplete. You have this order (see date below) to: Pay your fees and costs, or File a new revised request that includes the items listed belowed. 	
(2) The court denies your request because the information you proveligible for the fee waiver you requested (specify reasons):	rided on the request shows that you are not
The court has enclosed a blank Request for Hearing About Court FW-006. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this o Pay your fees and costs, or Ask for a hearing in order to show the court more information.	order (see date below) to:
c. The court needs more information to decide whether to grant your rebelow. The hearing will be about (specify questions regarding eligib	ility):
Bring the following proof to support your request if reasonably Hearing Date: Time: Rm.:	nd address of court if different from page 1:
Warning! If Item c is checked, and you do not go to court on your hearing waive court fees, and you will have 10 days to pay your fees. If you miss the the court papers you filed with your request. If the papers were a notice of	nat deadline, the court cannot process
Date: 6-29.11 Signature of (check one): X	
Request for Accommodations. Assistive listening systems, comp language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days office for Request for Accommodation, Form MC-410. (Civil Code	s before your hearing. Contact the clerk's
Clerk's Certificate of Service certify that I am not involved in this case and (check one):	ce ate of mailing is attached.
I handed a copy of this order to the party and attorney, if any, listed in This order was halled first class, postage paid, to the party and attorney, from (city):, California on the date	and ②, at the court, on the date below. if any at the addresses listed in ① and ②,
Date: THIN 3 0 2011 BARTS OF Clerk, by	, Deputy
This is a Court Order.	FW-003, Page 2 of 2

Order on Court Fee Walver (Superior Court)



3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11-

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

ENDORSED

AUG -8 2011

No States

Devid H., Yaransa, June of the Superior Count County of Serva Case, California

Eric J. Hardeman (State Bar No. 253489) JONES DAY 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800 Irvine CA 92612 (949) 851-3939 Telephone:

Facsimile:

(949) 553-7539

Email:

ejhardeman@jonesday.com

Attorneys for Defendant

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

LORENZO ROBERTSON,

Plaintiff,

13

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC., TRANS UNION, LLC., CHEXSYSTEMS, INC FIRST ADVANTAGE SAFERENT, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 111CV204098

Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Kevin McKenney

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian") hereby answers the Complaint filed by plaintiff Lorenzo Robertson ("Plaintiff") as follows:

Pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30(d), Experian denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation, statement, matter, and purported cause of action contained in Plaintiff's Complaint and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, denies, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff has been damaged in the manner for any sums alleged, or in any way at all, by reason of any acts or omissions of Experian.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

In further answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, Experian alleges the following separate and IRI-24520v1

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT



21 22

20

24

23

25

26

27 28

ļ	
1	distinct affirmative defenses, without conceding that it bears the burden of proof or persuasion as
2	to any of them:
3	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM)
5	The Complaint herein, and each cause of action thereof, fails to set forth facts sufficient to
6	state a claim upon which relief may be granted against Experian and further fails to state facts
7	sufficient to entitle Plaintiff to the relief sought, or to any other relief whatsoever from Experian.
8	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(IMMUNITY)
0	All claims against Experian are barred by the qualified immunity of 15 U.S.C. § 1681h(e).
11	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	(TRUTH/ACCURACY OF INFORMATION)
13	All claims against Experian are barred because all information Experian communicated to
4	any third person regarding Plaintiff was true.
5	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
ا 16	(INDEMNIFICATION)
7	Experian is informed and believes and thereon alleges that any purported damages
18	allegedly suffered by Plaintiff are the results of the acts or omissions of third persons over whom
9.	Experian had neither control nor responsibility.
20	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
21	(FAILURE TO MITIGATE DAMAGES)
22	Plaintiff has failed to mitigate Plaintiff's damages.
23	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(LACHES)
25	The Complaint and each claim for relief therein is barred by the doctrine of laches.
26	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	(CONTRIBUTORY/COMPARATIVE FAULT)
28	Experian is informed and believes and thereon alleges that any alleged damages sustained
	IRI-24520vI 2

l	
1	by Plaintiff was, at least in part, caused by the actions of Plaintiff herself and resulted from
2	Plaintiff's own negligence which equaled or exceeded any alleged negligence or wrongdoing by
3	Experian.
4	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	(ESTOPPEL)
6	Any damages which Plaintiff may have suffered, which Experian continues to deny, were
7	the direct and proximate result of the conduct of Plaintiff. Therefore, Plaintiff is estopped and
-8	barred from recovery of any damages.
9	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
10	(STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS)
11	Experian is informed and believes and thereon alleges that all claims for relief in the
12	Complaint herein are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation, including but not limited to
13	15 U.S.C. § 1681p.
14	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(CLAIM PRECLUSION)
16	On information and belief, all of Plaintiff's claims against Experian are barred by the
17	doctrine of claim preclusion.
18	<u>ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
19	(ISSUE PRECLUSION)
20	On information and belief, all of Plaintiff's claims against Experian are barred by the
21	doctrine of issue preclusion.
22	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(UNCLEAN HANDS)
24	The Complaint, and each claim for relief therein that seeks equitable relief, is barred by
25	the doctrine of unclean hands.
26	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	(INTERVENING CAUSE)
28	Experian alleges upon information and belief that if Plaintiff sustained any of the injuries
	IRI-24520vI 3 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
	II.

alleged in the Complaint, there was an intervening, superseding cause and/or causes leading to 1 such alleged injuries and, as such, any action on the part of Experian was not a proximate cause 2 3 of the alleged injuries. 4 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (RIGHT TO ASSERT ADDITIONAL DEFENSES) 5 Experian reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses at such time and to 6 such extent as warranted by discovery and the factual developments in this case. 7 8 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 9 WHEREFORE, Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. prays as follows: That Plaintiff take nothing by virtue of the Complaint herein and that this action be 10 **(1)** 11 dismissed in its entirety; For costs of suit and attorneys' fees herein incurred; and 12 **(2)** For such other and further relief that this Court may deem necessary 13 (3) 14 and proper. 15 Dated: August 3, 2011 16 Jones Day 17 18 19 Attorneys for Defendant 20 EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 IR1-24520v1 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

3

8

9

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL 2 I, Eric Hardeman, am a citizen of the United States and employed in Orange County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My 4 business address is 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800, Irvine, California 92612. I am readily 5 familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with 6 the United States Postal Service. On August 8, 2011, I placed with this firm at the above address 7 for deposit with the United States Postal Service a true and correct copy of the within document(s): EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 10 in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows: Lorenzo Robertson 12 715 Lexington Place Gilroy, CA 95020 13 Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection 14 and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited with the 15 United States Postal Service on this date. 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above 17 is true and correct. Executed on August 8, 2011, at Irvine, California. 24 25 26 27 28 IRI-24520vI

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 I, Eric Hardeman, am a citizen of the United States and employed in Orange County, 2 California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My 3 business address is 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800, Irvine, California 92612. I am readily 4 familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with 5 the United States Postal Service. On August 9, 2011, I placed with this firm at the above address 6 for deposit with the United States Postal Service a true and correct copy of the within 7 8 document(s): 9 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows: 10 11 Lorenzo Robertson 715 Lexington Place 12 Gilroy, CA 95020 Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection 13 and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited with the 14 15 United States Postal Service on this date. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above 16 17 is true and correct. Executed on August 9, 2011, at Irvine, California. 18 19 20 Eric J. Hardeman 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28